# **Predicting Grocery Sales**

Marija Stanojevic CIS Department, Temple University Machine Learning Course, 7th December 2017

## Problem definition and dataset

- Kaggle competition: Corporación Favorita Grocery
   Sales Forecasting Nigerian retailer
- Predict # items that will be bought in a store for date
- Growing retailer new items and stores with time
- Training: 1<sup>st</sup> January 2013 15<sup>th</sup> August 2017
- **Test**: 16<sup>th</sup> August 2013 30<sup>th</sup> August 2017
- Different datasets extracted from database
- Prices of items are not given
- Items have different sizes (kg, g, package, I, gallon, I)

### Dataset

	id	date	store_	nbr	item_	nbr	unit_sales	onpromotion	[	store_nbr	city	y	state	1	type	cluster
Training	125497040	1254970401/1/201254970411/1/20		1	1 96995 1 99197		3536	FALSE		1	1 Quito		Pichincha		D	13
	125497041			1			12.45	FALSE		2	2 Qu	ito	Pichin	cha I	D	13
	125497042 1/1/20		13	1 103501		35 <mark>01</mark>	57349	FALSE	ž	3	3 Quito 4 Quito			cha I	D	8
	125497043 1/1/2		13	1 103		3520	0	FALSE		4				cha I	D	9
	125497044 1/1/2013		13	1	1 103665		4897	FALSE	[	5 Santo Dor Santo Dor D					4	
ltem	item_nbr fam	nily	class	peris	hable		id	date	store_n	or item_n	br	onprom	otion	Ce	date	dcoilwtico
	96995 GR	OCERY I	1093		0		125497040	8/16/2017		1 969	995	FALSE	8		1/1/20	13
	99197 GR	OCERY I	1067		0	st	125497041	8/16/2017		1 993	197	FALSE	8	D	1/2/20	93.14
	103501 CLEANING 103520 GROCERY I		3008		0		125497042	8/16/2017		1 1035	5 <mark>01</mark>	FALSE	5	Ξ	1/3/20	92.97
			1028				125497043	8/16/2017		1 1035	520	FALSE	8	0	1/4/20	93.12
	103665 BRE	AD/BAKERY	2712		1		125497044	8/16/2017		1 1036	665	FALSE			1/7/20	13
Holiday	date	type lo	ocale	loca	ale_na	ame	descript	tion		transfer	red	c	date		store_nbr	transactions
	3/2/2012	Holiday L	ocal	Ma	Manta		Fundacion de Manta			FALSE		Ei	1/1/2	2013	25	770
	4/1/2012	Holiday F	egional	Cot	otopaxi		Provincializacion de Cotopaxi			FALSE			1/2/2	2013	1	2111
	4/12/2012	4/12/2012 Holiday Loo 4/14/2012 Holiday Loo 4/21/2012 Holiday Loo		Cue	Cuenca Libertad		Fundacion de Cuenca			FALSE		Š	1/2/2	2013	2	2358
	4/14/2012			Lib			Cantonizacion de Libe		ertad	FALSE		an	1/2/2	2013	3	3487
	4/21/2012			Rio	bamb	а	Cantonizacion de Riobamba			FALSE			1/2/2	2013	4	1922



Sales during year; each year one color

Sales vs store type



# Methodology

- Mean-square loss
- Neural network with 2 and
   3 fully connected layers
- ✤ Layers sizes 256, 128, 64
  - Dropout 0.5 after each
- Implemented RNN, but couldn't work with it in practice



#### Results

Parameters	Error
-	0.6797
L = any	0.8724
L => 1	0.6789
L => 20	0.6788
L = 1 , e < 0.02	0.4724
L = 12 , e < 0.02	0.5631
	<pre>Parameters  L = any L =&gt; 1 L =&gt; 20 L = 1, e &lt; 0.02 L = 12, e &lt; 0.02</pre>

Model	Parameters	Error
Gaussian SVR	L = 1, e > 0.04	0.5844
Gaussian SVR	L = 12, e > 0.04	0.6184
NN-2 layers	sgd, lr: 0.001, 10 epochs, dp:0.5, relu	0.8668
NN-3 layers	sgd, lr: 0.001, 10 epochs, dp:0.5, relu	0.8905
NN-2 layers	adam, lr: 0.001, 10 epochs, dp:0.5, relu	0.4541
NN-3 layers	adam, lr: 0.001, 10 epochs, dp:0.5, relu	0.4308

#### Conclusion

- In general NN performs best, then Gaussian SVR
- Items have different best models => ensembly
- Linear SVR is too slow
- SGD is converging slowly, so ADAM optimizer is suitable
- Best I.r.=0.001, but doesn't change much
- Adding additional layers doesn't change much
- Big difference in accuracy for different items with NN
- Possible last layer activations: linear and relu

# Thank you Questions?